Assignment #3

Due: Friday, Mar. 5, 2010 by 5pm. (in Hart's office)

Problem 1 Let's explore why in the RSA public key system each person has to be assigned a different modulus N = pq. Suppose we try to use the same modulus N = pq for everyone. Each person is assigned a public exponent e_i and a private exponent d_i such that $e_i \cdot d_i = 1 \mod \varphi(N)$. At first this appears to work fine: to encrypt a message to Bob, Alice computes $C = M^{e_{bob}}$ and sends C to Bob. An eavesdropper Eve, not knowing d_{bob} appears to be unable to decrypt C. Let's show that using e_{eve} and d_{eve} Eve can very easily decrypt C.

- **a.** Show that given e_{eve} and d_{eve} Eve can obtain a multiple of $\varphi(N)$.
- **b.** Show that given an integer K which is a multiple of $\varphi(N)$ Eve can factor the modulus N. Deduce that Eve can decrypt any RSA ciphertext encrypted using the modulus N intended for Alice or Bob.

Hint: Consider the sequence $g^K, g^{K/2}, g^{K/4}, \dots g^{K/\tau(K)} \mod N$ where g is random in \mathbb{Z}_N and $\tau(N)$ is the largest power of 2 dividing K. Use the the left most element in this sequence which is not equal to $\pm 1 \mod N$.

Problem 2. Time-space tradeoff. Let $f: X \to X$ be a one-way permutation. Show that one can build a table T of size B bytes $(B \ll |X|)$ that enables an attacker to invert f in time O(|X|/B). More precisely, construct an O(|X|/B)-time deterministic algorithm \mathcal{A} that takes as input the table T and a $y \in X$, and outputs an $x \in X$ satisfying f(x) = y. This result suggests that the more memory the attacker has, the easier it becomes to invert functions.

Hint: Pick a random point $z \in X$ and compute the sequence

$$z_0 := z, \ z_1 := f(z), \ z_2 := f(f(z)), \ z_3 := f(f(f(z))), \ \dots$$

Since f is a permutation, this sequence must come back to z at some point (i.e. there exists some j > 0 such that $z_j = z$). We call the resulting sequence (z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_j) an f-cycle. Let $t := \lceil |X|/B \rceil$. Try storing $(z_0, z_t, z_{2t}, z_{3t}, \ldots)$ in memory. Use this table (or perhaps, several such tables) to invert an input $y \in X$ in time O(t).

Problem 3 Commitment schemes. A commitment scheme enables Alice to commit a value x to Bob. The scheme is *secure* if the commitment does not reveal to Bob any information about the committed value x. At a later time Alice may *open* the commitment and convince Bob that the committed value is x. The commitment is *binding* if Alice cannot convince Bob that the committed value is some $x' \neq x$. Here is an example commitment scheme:

- **Public values:** (1) a 1024 bit prime p, and (2) two elements g and h of \mathbb{Z}_p^* of prime order q.
- **Commitment:** To commit to an integer $x \in [0, q 1]$ Alice does the following: (1) she picks a random $r \in [0, q 1]$, (2) she computes $b = g^x \cdot h^r \mod p$, and (3) she sends b to Bob as her commitment to x.
- **Open:** To open the commitment Alice sends (x, r) to Bob. Bob verifies that $b = g^x \cdot h^r \mod p$.

Show that this scheme is secure and binding.

- **a.** To prove security show that b does not reveal any information to Bob about x. In other words, show that given b, the committed value can be any integer x' in [0, q-1].
 - Hint: show that for any x' there exists a unique $r' \in [0, q-1]$ so that $b = g^{x'}h^{r'}$.
- **b.** To prove the binding property show that if Alice can open the commitment as (x', r') where $x \neq x'$ then Alice can compute the discrete log of h base g. In other words, show that if Alice can find an (x', r') such that $b = g^{x'}h^{r'}$ mod p then she can find the discrete log of h base g. Recall that Alice also knows the (x, r) used to create b.
- **Problem 4** Threshold signatures. A company wants to institute a policy that two executives are needed to sign a contract. The process is as follows: a secretary sends the contract to both execs, they each sign and send their signature back to the secretary. The secretary then assembles the two signatures into a valid signature on the contract. Note that the two execs communicate with the secretary, but are not allowed to communicate with each other. One option is to give each exec a signature key and say that a signature is valid only if it contains valid signatures from both execs. In this question we develop a method that results in a shorter signature. Let (N, e) be the company's RSA public key and let d be the corresponding signing key.
 - a. Let d_1 be a random integer in $[1, \ldots, N]$ and let $d_2 = d d_1$. Suppose we give d_1 to one exec and d_2 to the other. Explain how the secretary can interact with the execs to generate a signature under the company's RSA public key (N, e). The execs cannot communicate with one another and should keep their secrets to themselves.
 - **b.** Are both execs needed to generate a signature under (N, e), or is one execs sufficient? Briefly explain your answer.
 - c. Generalize the mechanism from part (a) so that any 2 out of 3 execs can generate a signature under (N, e), but no single exec can do it.
- **Problem 5** In class we briefly noted that a one-time signature scheme can be converted into a many-time signature scheme. Let's explore how to do it in more detail. The signer in our many-time scheme will maintain internal state and update it every time he signs a

message. Let (G, S, V) be a one-time signature scheme (i.e. a scheme secure as long as a public/secret pair is used to sign at most one message). To build a signature scheme for signing 2^n messages (say n=32) visualize a complete binary tree with 2^n leaves. Every node in this tree stores a different public/secret key pair for the one-time system. The public key for our many-time scheme is the public key stored at the root of the tree. To sign message number i the signer uses the ith leaf in the tree (for $1 \le i \le 2^n$). Let u_0, \ldots, u_n be the n nodes on the path from the root to the ith leaf (u_0) is the root of the tree, u_n is the leaf). To sign the message m, first use the secret key in the leaf node u_n to sign m. Let s_n be the resulting signature. Then for $i=0,\ldots,n-1$ use the secret key in node u_i to sign the pair of public keys stored in its two children. Let (s_0,\ldots,s_{n-1}) be the resulting n one-time signatures. For $1 \le i \le n$ let pk_i be the public key stored is node u_i and let pk_i' be the public key stored in the sibling of node u_i . The many-time scheme outputs $(i,(s_0,pk_1,pk_1'),\ldots,(s_{n-1},pk_n,pk_n'),s_n)$ as the signature on m.

- a. Write (short) pseudo-code to implement the signing and verification algorithms for the many-time scheme. Your signing code should maintain state containing at most 2n one-time public/private key pairs at any given time. Your verification code should be stateless.
- **b.** Briefly explain why your implementation is secure. In other words, explain why your signing code never uses a one-time public-key to sign two distinct messages.
- c. What is the size of the resulting signatures when using the Lamport one-time signature scheme discussed in class? How many applications of the one-way function are needed (on average) to generate a signature?

Problem 6. Homomorphic encryption. Let G be a group of prime order q and g a generator of G.

- a. Consider a variant of ElGamal encryption where the encryption of a message $m \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ using public key (G, g, h) is defined as $c \leftarrow (g^r, g^m h^r)$ where $r \stackrel{\mathbb{R}}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_q$. Suppose $1 \leq m \leq B$. Write pseudo-code to decrypt the ciphertext c (i.e. recover the message m) using the secret key $x := \text{Dlog}_g(h)$ with one exponentiation and O(B) additional group operations.
- **b.** For i = 1, 2 let c_i be the encryption of message m_i . Show that there is a simple algorithm \mathcal{A} that takes the public key (G, g, h) and the two ciphertexts c_1 and c_2 as input, and outputs a random encryption of $m_1 + m_2$. The output ciphertext should be distributed as if the message $m_1 + m_2$ was encrypted with fresh randomness. Note that \mathcal{A} does not know either m_1 or m_2 .
- c. Suppose n people wish to compute the average of their salaries. Let x_i be the salary of person number i, where x_i is an integer in [1, B] for all i. Our goal is to compute $A := (x_1 + \ldots + x_n)/n$ without revealing any other information about individual salaries. Note that A need not be an integer.

Design an n step protocol where in step i (for $i=1,\ldots,n-1$) user number i sends a message to user number i+1. In step n user number n sends a message to user 1. User 1 then publishes A for all n people to see.

You may assume user 1 does not collude with any other user. All user 1 sees is the message he sends to user 2 and the message he receives from user n. Some remaining users may share information with one another to try and learn more information about individual salaries (information beyond what is revealed by A). **Hint:** User 1 generates a public/private ElGamal key. The remaining users use your mechanism from part (b).